A study published in *Eye and Brain* suggests that adding an *optomap®* to a traditional BIO exam may improve sensitivity by up to 30%.

- Results from this cross-sectional study found good agreement between image-assisted and traditional fundus examination.
- In cases of disagreement, the adjudicator agreed with the image-assisted method in over 70% of cases.
- This suggests that adding nonmydriatic imaging, *optomap* UWF SLO in this case, to the clinical examination, can improve the examiner’s ability to detect or rule out lesions by up to 30%.

“Image-assisted fundus examination may enhance detection of retinal lesions compared with traditional fundus examination alone”

— *Eye and Brain* 2014

See how *optomap* will help you manage your patients. For more information call 800-854-3039 or email BDS@optos.com.
Image-assisted fundus examination may enhance detection of retinal lesions by 30% compared with traditional fundus examination alone.

- This cross-sectional study found good agreement between image-assisted and traditional fundus examination.

- There was a higher rate of detection of posterior pole lesions using the image-assisted method in this study (90.1%).

- The image-assisted method detected 92.2% of all vitreoretinal interface abnormalities while the traditional examination detected 54.7%.

- Image-assisted method detected 90.6% of drusen in the posterior pole compared with 43.8% detected by the traditional fundus examination alone.

- When the methods disagreed for any lesion type, the image-assisted method was correct in 75% of the disagreements.

- Agreement between image-assisted and traditional fundus examination varied by lesion type and was excellent for staphyloma (kappa 0.76), fair for suspicious cupping (kappa 0.66), drusen in the posterior pole/macula and mid-to-peripheral retina (0.45, 0.41), retinal pigment epithelial changes in the posterior pole/macula (0.54), peripheral retinal degeneration (0.50), cobblestone (0.69), vitreoretinal interface abnormalities (0.40), and vitreous lesions (0.53).

- When the methods disagreed, the results indicated a statistically significant advantage for the image-assisted examination in detecting suspicious cupping (P = 0.04), drusen in the posterior pole/macula and mid-to-peripheral retina (P = 0.004, P < 0.001), retinal pigment epithelial changes in the posterior pole/macula (P = 0.04), nevi in the posterior pole/macula and mid-to-peripheral retina (P = 0.01, P = 0.007), peripheral retinal degeneration (P < 0.001), hemorrhage in the mid-to-peripheral retina (P = 0.01), and vitreous lesions (P < 0.001).

- The sensitivity of dilated ophthalmoscopy in previous studies ranged from 32% to 82%.